



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/773,137	02/04/2004	Gerald R. Fischer	12-1202	5082

26294 7590 10/30/2007
TAROLLI, SUNDHEIM, COVELL & TUMMINO L.L.P.
1300 EAST NINTH STREET, SUITE 1700
CLEVEVLAND, OH 44114

EXAMINER

PUENTE, EVA YI ZHENG

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2611

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

10/30/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/773,137

Applicant(s)

FISCHER, GERALD R.

Examiner

Eva Yi Zheng

Art Unit

2611

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 August 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 2-4 and 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Akutsu (US 5,930,246) in view of Palin et al (US 2005/0058116).

a) Regarding to claim 2, Akutsu disclose for use in an ultra wideband (UWB) communication system, a method for communicating binary data as a sequence of UWB pulses using time division multiple access (TDMA), the method comprising:

allocating a succession of TDMA time intervals to respective users (Fig. 1, wherein Tx1, Tx2....Txn are corresponding to user 1, user 2.....user n, respectively; Col 1, L15-47);

transmitting multiple data pulses in a first TDMA time interval (Tx1, it is well known that in a TDMA system each frame having a plurality of time slots and each time slot transmitting a plurality of data bits or symbols); and

receiving multiple return data pulses later in the same TDMA time interval (Rx1 in Fig. 1).

Akutsu disclose all the subject matters above except for the specific teaching of data have been transmitted and received are UWB data pulses.

However, Palin et al disclose a multicarrier wireless communication system implements TDMA transmission scheme in the form of UWB signals (Fig. 1 and 2; [0032], [0035] and [0038]). The UWB techniques allow for devices to exchange information at relative high data rates ([0002]). Therefore, it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in art to employ UWB data signal as taught by Palin et al in the TDMA system of Akutsu. By doing so, provide high data rate and resistant to multi-path impairment in a wireless communication system.

b) Regarding to claim 3, Akutsu disclose a method as defined in claim 2, wherein:
the multiple data pulses are transmitted to a first user (Tx1 a first user; it is well known that in a TDMA system each frame having a plurality of time slots and each time slot transmitting a plurality of data bits or symbols); and

the multiple return data pulses are received from the same first user (Rx1 in Fig. 1).

Akutsu disclose all the subject matters above except for the specific teaching of data have been transmitted and received are UWB data pulses.

However, Palin et al disclose a multicarrier wireless communication system implements TDMA transmission scheme in the form of UWB signals (Fig. 1 and 2;

[0032], [0035] and [0038]). The UWB techniques allow for devices to exchange information at relative high data rates ([0002]).

c) Regarding to claim 4, Akutsu disclose a method as defined in claim 3, wherein the method further comprises:

transmitting multiple data pulses to a second user in a second TDMA time interval (Tx2 in Fig. 1); and

receiving multiple return data pulses from the second user in the second TDMA time interval (Rx2 in Fig.1).

Akutsu disclose all the subject matters above except for the specific teaching of data have been transmitted and received are UWB data pulses.

However, Palin et al disclose a multicarrier wireless communication system implements TDMA transmission scheme in the form of UWB signals (Fig. 1 and 2; [0032], [0035] and [0038]). The UWB techniques allow for devices to exchange information at relative high data rates ([0002]).

d) Regarding to claim 6, Akutsu disclose for use in an ultra wideband (UWB) communication system, a method for communicating binary data as a sequence of UWB pulses using time division multiple access (TDMA), the method comprising:

allocating subintervals of each TDMA time intervals to different users (Fig. 1, wherein Tx1, Tx2....Txn are corresponding to user 1, user 2.....user n, respectively; Col 1, L15-47);

transmitting multiple data pulses in a first TDMA time interval, wherein the data pulses are address to separate multiple users (Tx1, Tx2....Txn, it is well known that in a

TDMA system each frame having a plurality of time slots and each time slot transmitting a plurality of data bits or symbols); and

receiving multiple return data pulses later in the same TDMA time interval, wherein the return data pulses are received from separate multiple users (Rx1, Rx2,...Rxn in Fig. 1).

Akutsu disclose all the subject matters above except for the specific teaching of data have been transmitted and received are UWB data pulses.

However, Palin et al disclose a multicarrier wireless communication system implements TDMA transmission scheme in the form of UWB signals (Fig. 1 and 2; [0032], [0035] and [0038]). The UWB techniques allow for devices to exchange information at relative high data rates ([0002]). Therefore, it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in art to employ UWB data signal as taught by Palin et al in the TDMA system of Akutsu. By doing so, provide high data rate and resistant to multi-path impairment in a wireless communication system.

e) Regarding to claim 7, Akutsu disclose a method as defined in claim 6, wherein the method further comprises:

transmitting multiple data pulses to a multiple user in a second TDMA time interval (continuously transmitting Tx1, Tx2....Txn in second frame duration as shown in Fig. 1); and

receiving multiple return data pulses later in the same second TDMA time interval (continuously receiving Rx1, Rx2,...Rxn in second frame duration as shown in Fig. 1).

Akutsu disclose all the subject matters above except for the specific teaching of data have been transmitted and received are UWB data pulses.

However, Palin et al disclose a multicarrier wireless communication system implements TDMA transmission scheme in the form of UWB signals (Fig. 1 and 2; [0032], [0035] and [0038]). The UWB techniques allow for devices to exchange information at relative high data rates ([0002]).

4. Claims 1, 5 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Akutsu (US 5,930,246) in view of Palin et al (US 2005/0058116), and further in view of Honkasalo et al (US 6,101,176).

a) Regarding to claim 1, Akutsu disclose for use in an ultra wideband (UWB) communication system, a method for communicating binary data as a sequence of UWB pulses using time division multiple access (TDMA), the method comprising:

allocating a succession of TDMA time intervals to respective users (Fig. 1, wherein Tx1, Tx2....Txn are corresponding to user 1, user 2.....user n, respectively; Col 1, L15-47);

transmitting a first user pulse in a first TDMA time interval (Tx1);

receiving a first user return pulse in the first TDMA time interval (Rx1);

transmitting a second and other user pulses in a second and subsequent respective TDMA time intervals (Tx2,...Txn); and

receiving a second user return pulse in the second TDMA time interval, and other user pulses in subsequent respective TDMA time intervals (Rx2,...Rxn).

Akutsu disclose all the subject matters above except for the specific teaching of (1) data have been transmitted and received are UWB data pulses; and (2) at least twice propagation delay between TDMA time intervals.

However, (1) Palin et al disclose a multicarrier wireless communication system implements TDMA transmission scheme in the form of UWB signals (Fig. 1 and 2; [0032], [0035] and [0038]). The UWB techniques allow for devices to exchange information at relative high data rates ([0002]). Therefore, it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in art to employ UWB data signal as taught by Palin et al in the TDMA system of Akutsu. By doing so, provide high data rate and resistant to multi-path impairment in a wireless communication system.

In addition, (2) Honkasalo et al disclose in a TDMA system signals are divided into disjoint time slots. Different time delays can be used to distinguish signals in order to avoid interference (Col 1, L59-67). It is logical to utilize as much as twice amount of delay times to avoid signal interference in a TDMA time interval. Therefore, it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in art to combine the TDMA time delay teaching of Honkasalo et al with the TDMA system of Akutsu. By doing so, avoid signal interference and collision in a TDMA time interval.

b) Regarding to claims 5 and 8, Akutsu and Palin et al disclose all the subject matters above as explained in the rejection apply to claims 2 and 6 except for the specific teaching of at least twice propagation delay between TDMA time intervals.

However, Honkasalo et al disclose in a TDMA system signals are divided into disjoint time slots. Different time delays can be used to distinguish signals in order to

Art Unit: 2611

avoid interference (Col 1, L59-67). It is logical to utilize as much as twice amount of delay times to avoid signal interference in a TDMA time interval. Therefore, it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in art to combine the TDMA time delay teaching of Honkasalo et al with the TDMA system of Akutsu. By doing so, avoid signal interference and collision in a TDMA time interval.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eva Y Zheng whose telephone number is 571-272-3049. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

Art Unit: 2611

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh Fan can be reached on 571-272-3042. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Eva Yi Zheng
Examiner
Art Unit 2611

October 17, 2007


CHIEH M. FAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER